Score	CCS Responsiveness Rating Scale for i2I (Innovation to Impact) *Levels and characteristics of the application's responsiveness* (Revised December 2019)	Priority for funding
4.2 – 5.0	**Very responsive** • The proposed work is strongly related to the overarching goal(s) of the original Innovation/CBCF grant. • There were many significant achievements resulting from the original Innovation/CBCF grant to warrant progression to the i2I program. • The primary focus of the project is very well aligned with the specific research area(s) and scope described in the funding opportunity.	High
3.4 – 4.1	**Somewhat responsive** • The proposed work is somewhat related to the overarching goal(s) of the original Innovation/CBCF grant. • There were some significant achievements resulting from the original Innovation/CBCF grant to warrant progression to the i2I program. • The primary focus of the project is somewhat aligned with the specific research area(s) and scope described in the funding opportunity.	Medium
3.0 – 3.3	**Not very responsive** • The proposed work is loosely related to the overarching goal(s) of the original Innovation/CBCF grant. • There were few significant achievements resulting from the original Innovation/CBCF grant to warrant progression to the i2I program. • The primary focus of the project is not well aligned with specific research area(s) and scope described in the funding opportunity.	Low
Unscored (mark as 0.01)	The application was triaged by the panel and not discussed. The research project shows very little to no relation to the overarching goal(s) of the original Innovation/CBCF grant, there were little to no significant achievements resulting from the original Innovation/CBCF grant, and there was little to no alignment to the specific research area(s) and scope described in the funding opportunity.	None