

**CCS/CIHR Cancer Survivorship Team Grant
Outcomes Rating Scale**

Characteristics	Alignment	Score Range	Priority for Funding
1. The anticipated outcomes of the proposed research are useful, practical, and expected to be highly effective in real world settings. The anticipated outcomes have a high potential to address the needs of cancer survivors and mitigate the challenges experienced along the survivorship journey.	All (6) characteristics have been appropriately and thoroughly addressed in the research proposal. Very minor improvements are recommended.	4.9 – 5.0	Highest
	All (6) characteristics have been appropriately, but not thoroughly, addressed in the research proposal. Minor improvements of some characteristics are recommended.	4.6 – 4.8	Very High
2. The described plan for mentorship of new investigators is integrated within the proposal, sustainable, and adaptable to the changing priorities of the research team throughout the course of the project.	Most (5) of the characteristics have been appropriately and/or thoroughly addressed in the research proposal. There is room for minor improvement of one or more characteristics, but no moderate or major weaknesses.	4.2 – 4.5	High
	Many (4) of the characteristics have been appropriately and/or thoroughly addressed in the research proposal. There are several minor or moderate areas for improvement, but no major weaknesses.	3.9 – 4.1	Medium - High
3. Engagement with cancer survivor/caregiver participants and partners is meaningful and effective and will result in strong and lasting partnerships.	Some (3) of the characteristics have been appropriately and/or thoroughly addressed in the research proposal. There are a number of areas for minor to moderate improvement and potentially one major, but addressable, weakness.	3.6 – 3.8	Medium - Low
4. The extensive collaborations resulting from the proposal will enhance survivorship research capacity across Canada to achieve more rapid and widespread impacts for cancer survivors.	Few (2) of the characteristics have been appropriately and/or thoroughly addressed in the research proposal. There may be a number of well-addressed characteristics, but more than one major weakness.	3.3 – 3.5	Low
5. The implementation, knowledge translation and mobilization strategies are robust, appropriate, and multi-faceted, to ensure dissemination and facilitate uptake of interventions by end users.	Very few (1) of the characteristics have been appropriately and/or thoroughly addressed in the research proposal. There are major weaknesses and the proposal needs further development before being competitive in this competition.	Below 3.3	Lowest
	The weaknesses of the proposed research far outweigh the strengths. The proposal is not recommended for further consideration by the panel.	0.1 (unscored)	None
6. The outcomes of the proposed research are highly likely to inform and influence key decision-makers (to the degree possible) to commit to implementation of the interventions in clinical practice, programs and/or policies (as relevant).			