

Bernard and Francine Dorval / William E. Rawls Prizes (early career awards):

Nominees will be evaluated according to the criteria described below.

Overall contributions to cancer research and potential to lead to (or evidence of having led to) improved cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatments, care, support, or cancer control will be considered. Circumstances which may have impacted the nominee's academic career progression and research productivity are taken into consideration, including but not limited to:

- Additional training requirements and career interruptions (personal (including 'two-body' problem¹ in academia), family responsibilities, medical leaves etc.) contributing to a non-linear or unconventional career trajectory
- Inequitable distribution of institutional resources including start-up packages, laboratory or office space and formal mentorship
- Historical policies and procedures that perpetuate biases in hiring, tenure and promotion
- Biases in assignment of authorship roles in publications (e.g. first and last author roles)
- Underrepresentation of individuals from the four designated groups (women, Indigenous peoples, persons with disabilities and members of visible minorities) among conference keynote speakers and/or panelists and invited lecturers

Expectations for excellence will be commensurate with the research discipline and relative career stage of the nominee.

Criteria:

- Research productivity: nominee's publication record in peer-reviewed journals, quality and type of
 scholarly work published, degree of contribution, number of citations, and continuity of publication
 production (barring any interruptions); other forms of research outputs including, but not limited to,
 conference proceedings, policy reports, patents, and commercialization products.
- Research grant funding: as the lead investigator and as part of multi-investigator teams (noting that multi-investigator teams may require additional time/effort to generate successful outcomes); nominee's role(s) and potential impact of contribution(s) to the team will be considered.
- **Fellowships**, **honours**, **and/or awards received by the nominee:** scope and relevance (i.e. provincial, national, or international) of distinctions received will be considered.
- Training the next generation of cancer researchers: relative to career stage and other considerations (career interruptions, for example), evidence of participation in a meaningful way and to an appropriate degree towards the mentorship and support of trainees and fellows; evidence that trainees and fellows have been subsequently recognized for excellence themselves.
- Contributions made to date to scientific discipline: details of these and how critical they are/have been for advancing the knowledge in their immediate research field and the general scientific body of cancer research; potential for future contributions based on track record will be considered.
- Evidence (potential) of (for) leadership on a national and international scale: senior author
 publications in high quality journals (and citations thereof); success in securing peer-reviewed research
 funding as a lead investigator; invitations to present at national and international
 conferences; participation on peer review panels and other professional contributions; community
 outreach; forging national and international collaborations; and importantly, impact (or likelihood of
 impact) on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadian population
 and internationally.

¹ Refers to dual-academic couples facing the challenge of both individuals obtaining desirable positions within a reasonable commuting distance.



Score Range &	Nominee Rating Scale
Descriptor	Bernard and Francine Dorval / William E. Rawls Prizes (early career awards)
	 Candidate is extremely likely to become (or has already become) a leader in cancer research in Canada and internationally
4.7-5.0	 Exceptional contributions made to date to their scientific discipline and potential impact of future
	contributions based on track record
Exceptional	 Exceptional research productivity and track record in obtaining research grant funding relative to career stage
	Exceptional track record in mentorship and/or potential to attract high quality personnel
	Exceptional track record in obtaining fellowships, honours and/or awards
	 Candidate is likely to become (or has already become) a leader in cancer research in Canada and internationally
4.3-4.6	 Excellent contributions made to date to their scientific discipline and potential impact of future contributions based on track record
Excellent	 Excellent research productivity and track record in obtaining research grant funding relative to career stage
	Excellent track record in mentorship and/or potential to attract high quality personnel
	Excellent track record in obtaining fellowships, honours and/or awards
	 Very good candidate who has the potential to become a leader in cancer research in Canada and internationally
3.9-4.2	 Very good contributions made to date to their scientific discipline and potential impact of future contributions based on track record
Very good	 Very good research productivity and track record in obtaining research grant funding relative to career stage
	 Very good track record in mentorship and/or potential to attract high quality personnel
	Very good track record in obtaining fellowships, honours and/or awards
	 Good candidate who has some potential to become a leader in cancer research in Canada and internationally
3.5-3.8	 Good contributions made to date to their scientific discipline and potential impact of future contributions
Good	 based on track record Good research productivity and track record in obtaining research grant funding relative to career stage
	 Good track record in mentorship and/or potential to attract high quality personnel
	Good track record in obtaining fellowships, honours and/or awards
	Fair candidate who has limited potential to become a leader in cancer research in Canada
3.0-3.4*	 Fair contributions made to date to their scientific discipline and limited potential impact of future contributions based on track record
Fair	• Fair research productivity and track record in obtaining research grant funding relative to career stage
	Fair track record in mentorship and/or potential to attract high quality personnel Sintered record in a labelia in a fall could be a supported by a support of the su
	 Fair track record in obtaining fellowships, honours and/or awards Poor candidate who has low potential to become a leader in cancer research in Canada
Below 3.0	 Poor candidate who has low potential to become a leader in cancer research in Canada Poor contributions made to date to their scientific discipline and low potential to yield impactful
DCIOW 3.0	contributions in the future based on track record
Poor	Poor research productivity and track record in obtaining research grant funding relative to career stage
	 Poor track record in mentorship and/or potential to attract high quality personnel Poor track record in obtaining fellowships, honours and/or awards
	ering below 2.5 as an average of final scores will not be considered eligible to receive a prize

^{*}Nominees scoring below 3.5 as an average of final scores will not be considered eligible to receive a prize.



Robert L. Noble / O. Harold Warwick Prizes (established career awards):

Nominees will be evaluated according to the criteria described below.

Overall contributions to cancer research and the significance of their accomplishments to cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care, support or cancer control in Canada and internationally will be considered.

Circumstances which may have impacted the nominee's academic career progression and research productivity are taken into consideration, including but not limited to:

- Additional training requirements and career interruptions (personal (including 'two-body' problem² in academia), family responsibilities, medical leaves, etc.) contributing to a non-linear or unconventional career trajectory
- Inequitable distribution of institutional resources including start-up packages, laboratory or office space and formal mentorship
- Historical policies and procedures that perpetuate biases in hiring, tenure and promotion
- Biases in assignment of authorship roles in publications (e.g. first and last author roles)
- Underrepresentation of individuals from the four designated groups (women, Indigenous peoples, persons with disabilities and members of visible minorities) among conference keynote speakers and/or panelists and invited lecturers

Criteria:

- Research productivity and outputs: nominee's publication record in peer-reviewed journals, quality and type of scholarly work published, degree of contribution, number of citations, and continuity of publication production (barring any interruptions); other forms of research outputs including, but not limited to, conference proceedings, policy reports, patents, and commercialization products.
- Research grant funding: as the lead investigator and as part of multi-investigator teams (noting that multi-investigator teams may require additional time/effort to generate successful outcomes); nominee's role(s) and potential impact of contribution(s) to the team will be considered.
- Honours, and/or awards received by the nominee: scope and relevance (i.e. provincial, national, or international) of distinctions received will be considered.
- Training the next generation of cancer researchers: relative to career stage and other considerations
 (career interruptions, for example), evidence of participation in a meaningful way and to an appropriate
 degree towards the mentorship and support of trainees and fellows; evidence that trainees and
 fellows have been subsequently recognized for excellence themselves and/or gone on to realize
 cancer research impacts themselves
- Contributions/discoveries made to cancer research: details of these and how critical they have been for advancing knowledge both in their immediate research field and for the general scientific body of cancer research; the importance of resulting impacts on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care, support or cancer control in Canada and internationally will be considered.
- Evidence of leadership on a national and international scale: recognition as an expert by peers, senior author publications in high quality journals, success in securing peer-reviewed research funding as a lead investigator, invitations to present at national and international conferences, participation on peer review panels, editorial boards and other professional contributions, community outreach, national and international collaborations will be considered; and importantly, demonstrated impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadian population and internationally.

 $^{^2}$ Refers to dual-academic couples facing the challenge of both individuals obtaining desirable positions within a reasonable commuting distance.



Score Range & Descriptor	Robert L. Noble / O. Harold Warwick Prizes (established career awards)
4.7-5.0 Exceptional	 Exceptional evidence of scientific leadership on a national and international scale Exceptional contributions/discoveries made to date in their scientific discipline Exceptional research productivity and track record in obtaining research grant funding Exceptional and relevant recognition through honours and awards Exceptional track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Exceptional impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadian population and internationally
4.3-4.6 Excellent	 Excellent evidence of scientific leadership on a national and international scale Excellent contributions/discoveries made to date in their scientific discipline Excellent research productivity and track record in obtaining research grant funding Excellent and relevant recognition through honours and awards Excellent track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Excellent impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadian population and internationally
3.9-4.2 Very good	 Very good evidence of scientific leadership on a national and/or international scale Very good contributions/discoveries made to date in their scientific discipline Very good research productivity and track record in obtaining research grant funding Very good recognition through honours and awards Very good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Very good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadian population and/or internationally
3.5-3.8 Good	 Good evidence of some scientific leadership in Canada Good contributions/discoveries made to date in their scientific discipline Good research productivity and track record in obtaining research grant funding Good recognition through honours and awards Good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadian population and/or internationally
3.0-3.4* Fair	 Limited evidence of scientific leadership in Canada Fair contributions made to date to their scientific discipline Fair research productivity and track record in obtaining research grant funding Fair recognition through honours and awards Fair track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Limited impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadian population or internationally
Below 3.0 Poor	 Poor/no evidence of scientific leadership in Canada Poor contributions made to date in their scientific discipline Poor research productivity and track record in obtaining research grant funding Poor recognition through honours and awards Poor track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Limited to no impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadian population or internationally

^{*}Nominees scoring below 3.5 as an average of final scores will not be considered eligible to receive a prize.



Canadian Cancer Society Lifetime Contribution Prize (established career award):

Nominees will be evaluated according to the criteria described below.

Primary consideration will be given to the nominee's contributions to cancer research that extend beyond traditional research accomplishments and outputs to have a significant impact on the Canadian cancer research ecosystem. A demonstrated commitment to training the next generation of cancer researchers must be evident. Circumstances which may have impacted the nominee's academic career progression and research productivity are taken into consideration, including but not limited to:

- Additional training requirements and career interruptions (personal (including 'two-body' problem³ in academia), family responsibilities, medical leaves, etc.) contributing to a non-linear or unconventional career trajectory
- Inequitable distribution of institutional resources including start-up packages, laboratory or office space and formal mentorship
- Historical policies and procedures that perpetuate biases in hiring, tenure and promotion
- Biases in assignment of authorship roles in publications (e.g. first and last author roles)
- Underrepresentation of individuals from the four designated groups (women, Indigenous peoples, persons with disabilities and members of visible minorities) among conference keynote speakers and/or panelists and invited lecturers

Criteria:

- Contributions to the Canadian cancer research ecosystem, and internationally, as applicable: vision and leadership in building networks, fostering collaborations, developing resources and infrastructure, and other contribution(s) that have/has significantly enhanced the cancer research ecosystem in Canada and internationally. The degree to which these contributions have impacted those affected by cancer, in Canada and internationally, as applicable, will be considered.
- Training the next generation of researchers: relative to career stage and other considerations (career
 interruptions, for example), evidence that the nominee participates in a meaningful way and to an
 appropriate degree towards the mentorship and support of trainees and fellows; evidence that
 trainees and fellows have been subsequently recognized for excellence themselves, including but not
 limited to securing academic positions in cancer research.
- Other considerations (to a lesser degree) include:
 - research productivity and outputs: publication record in peer-reviewed journals, quality and type of scholarly work published, degree of contribution, number of citations, and continuity of publication production (barring any interruptions); other forms of research outputs including, but not limited to, conference proceedings, policy reports, patents, and commercialization products
 - research grant funding: as the lead investigator and as part of multi-investigator teams (noting that multi-investigator teams may require additional time/effort to generate successful outcomes); the nominee's role(s) and potential impact of contribution(s) to the team.
 - honours, and/or awards: scope and relevance (i.e. provincial, national, or international) of distinctions received will be considered.
 - participation on peer review panels, editorial boards and other professional contributions, as well as community outreach and advocacy.

³ Refers to dual-academic couples facing the challenge of both individuals obtaining desirable positions within a reasonable commuting distance.



A.7-5.0 Exceptional impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the population and internationally Exceptional impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the population and internationally Excellent research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Excellent evidence of visionary leadership that has enhanced the cancer research econational and/or international level Excellent track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers very good research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant fup professional contributions Very good and relevant recognition through honours and awards Very good and relevant recognition through honours and awards Very good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the professional contributions Very good and relevant recognition through honours and awards Very good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the population and/or internationally Very good and relevant recognition through honours and awards Very good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Good research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Good and relevant recognition through honours and awards Good evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a natinternational level Good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Fair research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Fair evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer researchers Fair research or cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Fair impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Fair re	core Range	Nominee Rating Scale
A.7-5.0 Exceptional impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the population and internationally Exceptional impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the population and internationally Excellent research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Excellent evidence of visionary leadership that has enhanced the cancer research econational and/or international level Excellent Excellent impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the population and/or internationally Excellent track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Very good research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant fuprofessional contributions Very good and relevant recognition through honours and awards Very good evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem of and/or international level Very good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the population and/or internationally Very good and relevant recognition through honours and awards Very good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Good research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Good and relevant recognition through honours and awards Good evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a natinternational level Good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Fair research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Fair evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer researchers Fair research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Fair revidence of leadership/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Minimal research productivity, including pu	Descriptor	Lifetime Contribution Prize (established career award)
Exceptional Exceptional Exceptional Exceptional Exceptional Exceptional Exceptional relevant recognition through honours and awards Excellent and relevant recognition through honours and awards Excellent evidence of visionary leadership that has enhanced the cancer researcher national and/or international level Excellent impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the population and/or international level Excellent impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the population and/or internationally Excellent treach record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers very good research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant furprofessional contributions Very good evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem of and/or international level Very good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the population and/or internationally Very good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the population and/or internationally Very good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Good research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Good and relevant recognition through honours and awards Good evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a natinternational level Good drack record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Fair research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Fair recognition through honours and awards Fair recognition through honours and awards Fair evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a natinternational level Fair impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Fair track record in mentorship/trai	•	Exceptional evidence of visionary leadership that has enhanced the cancer research ecosystem on a
Exceptional Exceptional Exceptional track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researcher Excellent research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Excellent and relevant recognition through honours and awards Excellent evidence of visionary leadership that has enhanced the cancer research econational and/or international level Excellent impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the population and/or internationally Excellent track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Very good research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant furprofessional contributions Very good and relevant recognition through honours and awards Very good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the population and/or internationally Very good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Good research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Good and relevant recognition through honours and awards Good evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a natinternational level 3.5-3.8 Good and relevant recognition through honours and awards Good evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a natinternational level Good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Fair research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Fair recognition through honours and awards Fair impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Fair impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationall level Fair impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationa	4.7-5.0	Exceptional impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadian
Excellent evidence of visionary leadership that has enhanced the cancer research econational and/or international level Excellent impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the population and/or internationally Excellent track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Very good research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant fur professional contributions Very good and relevant recognition through honours and awards Very good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the population and/or internationally Very good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Good research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Good and relevant recognition through honours and awards Good evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a natinternational level 3.5-3.8 Good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Fair research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Fair recognition through honours and awards Fair revidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a natinternational level 3.0-3.4* Fair recognition through honours and awards Fair revidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a natinternational level Fair track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Minimal recognition through honours and awards Fair revidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a natinternational level Fair track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Minimal recognition through	exceptional •	Exceptional track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Excellent research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and professional contributions
national and/or international level Excellent impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the population and/or internationally Excellent track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Very good research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant furprofessional contributions Very good and relevant recognition through honours and awards Very good evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem of and/or international level Very good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the population and/or internationally Very good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Good and relevant recognition through honours and awards Good and relevant recognition through honours and awards Good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or international level Good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Good Fair research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Fair research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Fair recognition through honours and awards Fair widence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a natinternational level Fair impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Fair track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Minimal recognition through honours and awards Fair track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Minimal recognition through honours and awards Limited to no evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a natinternational level Limited to no evidence of leadership that has contributed to t	•	
population and/or internationally Excellent Pexcellent track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Very good research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant furprofessional contributions Very good and relevant recognition through honours and awards Very good evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem of and/or international level Very good Very good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the population and/or internationally Very good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Good research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Good and relevant recognition through honours and awards Good evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a natinternational level Good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Fair research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Fair recognition through honours and awards Fair evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a natinternational level 3.0-3.4* Fair impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Fair track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Minimal research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Minimal recognition through honours and awards Limited to no evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystems and/or international level	10.46	national and/or international level
 Very good research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant fur professional contributions Very good and relevant recognition through honours and awards Very good evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem of and/or international level Very good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the population and/or internationally Very good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Good research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Good and relevant recognition through honours and awards Good evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a nat international level Good frack record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Fair research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Fair recognition through honours and awards Fair evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a nat international level Fair impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Fair track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Minimal research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Minimal recognition through honours and awards Limited to no evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a natinternational level Limited to no evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a natinternational level 		population and/or internationally
Very good evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem of and/or international level Very good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the population and/or internationally Very good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Good research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Good and relevant recognition through honours and awards Good evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a natinternational level Good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Fair research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Fair recognition through honours and awards Fair evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a natinternational level 3.0-3.4* Fair impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Fair track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Minimal research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Minimal recognition through honours and awards Limited to no evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem and/or international level	Excellent	Very good research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and professional contributions
and/or international level Very good Very good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the population and/or internationally Very good Very good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Good research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Good and relevant recognition through honours and awards Good evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a nat international level Good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Fair research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Fair recognition through honours and awards Fair evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a nat international level Fair impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Fair track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Minimal research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Minimal recognition through honours and awards Limited to no evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem and/or international level Limited to no evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem and/or international level	•	
Very good Very good Very good Very good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Good research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Good and relevant recognition through honours and awards Good evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a nat international level Good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Fair research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Fair recognition through honours and awards Fair evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a nat international level Fair impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Fair track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Minimal research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Minimal research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Minimal recognition through honours and awards Limited to no evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem and/or international level	•	and/or international level
 Good research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Good and relevant recognition through honours and awards Good evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a nat international level Good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Fair research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Fair recognition through honours and awards Fair evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a nat international level Fair impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Fair track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Minimal research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Minimal recognition through honours and awards Limited to no evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem and/or international level 		population and/or internationally
 Good evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a natinternational level Good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Good food track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Fair research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Fair recognition through honours and awards Fair evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a natinternational level Fair impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Fair track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Minimal research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Minimal recognition through honours and awards Limited to no evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem and/or international level 	√ery good •	Good research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and professional contributions
international level Good impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Good Good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Fair research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Fair recognition through honours and awards Fair evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a nat international level Fair impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Fair track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Minimal research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Minimal recognition through honours and awards Limited to no evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem and/or international level	•	
and/or internationally Good Good track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Fair research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Fair recognition through honours and awards Fair evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a nat international level Fair impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Fair Fair track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Minimal research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Minimal recognition through honours and awards Limited to no evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem and/or international level	•	international level
 Fair research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Fair recognition through honours and awards Fair evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a nat international level Fair impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Fair track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Minimal research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Minimal recognition through honours and awards Limited to no evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem and/or international level 		and/or internationally
 Fair recognition through honours and awards Fair evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a nat international level Fair impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Fair track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Minimal research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Minimal recognition through honours and awards Limited to no evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem and/or international level 	Good •	Fair research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and professional
 Fair evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a nat international level Fair impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Fair track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Minimal research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Minimal recognition through honours and awards Limited to no evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem and/or international level 		
 Fair impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadia and/or internationally Fair Fair track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Minimal research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and contributions Minimal recognition through honours and awards Limited to no evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem and/or international level 	•	Fair evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a national and/or
 Fair	3.0-3.4*	Fair impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadian population
and/or international level	Fair •	Fair track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Minimal research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and professional contributions
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	Limited to no evidence of leadership that has contributed to the cancer research ecosystem on a national and/or international level
Below 3.0 • Limited to no impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in t population and/or internationally	Below 3.0	Limited to no impact on cancer prevention, diagnosis, treatment, care and/or support in the Canadian
Poor Poor track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers	Poor	Poor track record in mentorship/training of the next generation of cancer researchers Limited to no research productivity, including publications and other outputs, grant funding, and professional contributions

^{*}Nominees scoring below 3.5 as an average of final scores will not be considered eligible to receive a prize.



Canadian Cancer Society Inclusive Excellence Prize (any career stage award):

Nominees will be evaluated according to the criteria described below.

Demonstrated leadership in the advancement of equity, diversity, inclusion, and accessibility leading to significant, measurable impacts that may include greater diversity in Canada's cancer research ecosystem, and/or enhanced equity in the delivery of cancer care for underserved populations will be considered.

Circumstances which may have impacted the nominee's career progression and research productivity (where applicable) are taken into consideration, including but not limited to:

- Additional training requirements and career interruptions (personal (including 'two-body' problem⁴ in academia), family responsibilities, medical leaves, disruptions brought by the COVID-19 pandemic, etc.) contributing to a non-linear or unconventional career trajectory.
- Inequitable distribution of institutional resources including start-up packages, laboratory or office space and formal mentorship.
- Historical policies and procedures that perpetuate biases in hiring, tenure and promotion.
- Biases in assignment of authorship roles in publications (e.g. first and last author roles).
- Underrepresentation of individuals from the four designated groups (women, Indigenous peoples, persons with disabilities and members of visible minorities) among conference keynote speakers and/or panelists and invited lecturers.

Criteria:

 Demonstrated leadership and a genuine commitment to the advancement of equity, diversity, inclusion and accessibility as it relates to the Canadian cancer research ecosystem including but not limited to: administrative/committee work; development and implementation of programs/strategies/policies; advocacy; a specific program of research; and/or recruitment, training and mentorship activities.

And/or:

- Demonstrated leadership and a genuine commitment to the advancement of health equity and reduction of health disparities for underserved populations including but not limited to: history of working collaboratively with community partners to address health equity issues, development and implementation of programs/strategies/policies that promote equity-focused models of care.
- Evidence of impact may include: measurable (i.e. tangible) improvements in the diversity of Canada's cancer research landscape as a result of nominee's efforts in fostering inclusive excellence and/or enhanced equity in the delivery of cancer care for underserved populations.

⁴ Refers to dual-academic couples facing the challenge of both individuals obtaining desirable positions within a reasonable commuting distance.



Score Range	Nominee Rating Scale
& Descriptor	
	motable Executions () in the stage arrange
4.7-5.0	• Exceptional evidence of visionary leadership in the advancement of equity, diversity, inclusion and
	 accessibility as it relates to the Canadian cancer research ecosystem. Exceptional (measurably demonstrated) impact on the diversity of Canada's cancer research landscape.
Exceptional	And/or
Ехсериона	• Exceptional evidence of transformative leadership in the advancement of health equity across the
	cancer care continuum for underserved populations. • Strongly recognized as an equity, diversity, inclusion, and accessibility leader in Canada.
	• Excellent evidence of visionary leadership in the advancement of equity, diversity, inclusion and
4.3-4.6	 accessibility as it relates to the Canadian cancer research ecosystem. Excellent (measurably demonstrated) impact on the diversity of Canada's cancer research landscape.
F	And/or
Excellent	• Excellent evidence of transformative leadership in the advancement of health equity across the cancer
	care continuum for underserved populations. Recognized as an equity, diversity, inclusion and accessibility leader in Canada.
	• Very good evidence of leadership in the advancement of equity, diversity inclusion, and accessibility as
3.9-4.2	 it relates to the Canadian cancer research ecosystem. Very good (measurably demonstrated) impact on the diversity of Canada's cancer research landscape.
	And/or
Very good	• Very good evidence of transformative leadership in the advancement of health equity across the cancer
	care continuum for underserved populations. • Acknowledged as an equity, diversity, inclusion, and accessibility leader in Canada.
	Good evidence of leadership in the advancement of equity, diversity, inclusion and accessibility as it
3.5-3.8	relates to the Canadian cancer research ecosystem.
	 Good (measurably demonstrated) impact on the diversity of Canada's cancer research landscape. And/or
Good	• Good evidence of transformative leadership in the advancement of health equity across the cancer
	care continuum for underserved populations. • Some recognition as an equity, diversity, inclusion, and accessibility leader in Canada.
	 Fair evidence of leadership in the advancement of equity, diversity, inclusion and accessibility as it
3.0-3.4*	relates to the Canadian cancer research ecosystem.
	 Fair (measurably demonstrated) impact on the diversity of Canada's cancer research landscape. And/or
Fair	• Fair evidence of transformative leadership in the advancement of health equity across the cancer care
	continuum for underserved populations. • Limited recognition as an equity, diversity, inclusion, and accessibility leader in Canada.
	 Limited to no evidence of leadership in the advancement of equity, diversity, inclusion, and accessibility
	as it relates to the Canadian cancer research ecosystem.
Below 3.0	 Limited to no (measurably demonstrated) impact on the diversity of Canada's cancer research landscape.
Poor	And/or
	• Limited to no evidence of leadership in the advancement of health equity across the cancer care
	continuum for underserved populations. • Limited to no recognition as an equity, diversity, inclusion and accessibility leader in Canada.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

^{*}Nominees scoring below 3.5 as an average of final scores will not be considered eligible to receive a prize.