

# 2021 Ontario Pre-Budget Consultation

Submission to the The Honourable Peter Bethlenfalvy, President of the Treasury Board and Minister of Finance

February, 2021

1 888 939-3333 | CANCER.CA



The Canadian Cancer Society (CCS) is the only national charity supporting Canadians with all cancers in communities across the country. In 2019, we funded \$42.1 million in life-saving research, invested \$56.5 million in trusted information and compassionate and practical support, and helped shape health policies to prevent cancer and support those living with the disease. With almost 1 in 2 Ontarians expected to develop cancer in their lifetime, it is vital we work together to strengthen our efforts to reduce the cancer burden in Ontario.

Those with cancer are among the most vulnerable in our communities right now and may be at greater risk of more serious outcomes from COVID-19. While the immediate impact is easy to understand, the long-term effects will be equally devastating. As Ontario begins to resume services, there will be a significant backlog to our healthcare system with cancer patients who have gone untreated, hopeful their cancer hasn't spread. In particular, we are alarmed by the potential influx of cancers yet to be diagnosed because of disruptions to provincial screening programs. Now more than ever we need to remove financial barriers to treatments and early detection while also bolstering our efforts in cancer prevention.

CCS recommends the following items in the 2021 budget:

- **1.** Immediately invest an additional \$1.7 M to help support the re-launch of the Wheels of Hope (WOH) transportation program in the context of COVID-19
  - WOH provides door to door transportation for cancer patients (mainly seniors) and their caregivers to cancer appointments. In 2019, WOH helped over 6,500 cancer patients take over 150,000 rides to treatment, driving nearly 8,000,000 km the equivalent of over 19 one-way trips to the moon. We want to continue to support patients using a variety of strategies that keep people safe.
- 2. Add coverage of the prostate-specific antigen (PSA) test to the Ontario Health Insurance Plan, when ordered by a physician
  - A PSA test can help find prostate cancer early, before it grows large or spreads outside of the prostate. When prostate cancer is detected early, close to 100% of men will survive 5 years or more compared to just 29% when detected at a later stage.
- 3. Invest in a system of fully funded take-home cancer drugs (THCD)
  - THCD are not universally covered through the Ontario Health Insurance Plan leaving many patients navigating a patchwork of regulatory and administrative coverage, and facing financial hardship.
- 4. Establish a tax on e-cigarettes and implement an annual cost recovery fee on the tobacco industry
  - Youth vaping has doubled in a 2-year period and tripled in a 4-year period. Taxes are a proven way to reduce consumption of similar products among highly price sensitive youth.
  - Tobacco companies can pay a fee to recoup the \$44 M spent annually through the Smoke-Free Ontario Strategy and ensure long-term funding for tobacco control.



## **Contact Information**

For further details please contact Stephen Piazza, Ontario Manager of Advocacy at <u>Stephen.piazza@cancer.ca</u> or 647-233-6793.



# Appendix A: Investing an additional \$1.7 M to help re-launch the Wheels of Hope (WoH) transportation program in 2021

Transportation to and from cancer care is a key challenge for access to equitable cancer services.<sup>1</sup> Across Ontario, the Canadian Cancer Society's (CCS) Wheels of Hope program has worked for 60 years to reduce the burden of transportation for patients and the cancer care system. In 2019, the Wheels of Hope 1,400 strong volunteer workforce helped over 6,500 cancer patients take over 150,000 rides to treatment, all over Ontario. We drove nearly 8,000,000 km- the equivalent of over 19 one-way trips to the moon.

#### Request

We request that the Ontario government immediately increase its total funding contribution to \$2.5 M (\$1.7 M increase from current levels) to help us meet the challenges brought on by COVID-19 and continue to help people get to their cancer appointments during this time. At the outset of the pandemic, CCS suspended the Wheels of Hope program to protect our volunteer drivers and clients until steps could be taken to mitigate the risks of the virus. In the interim, we provided direct funding to patients to procure their own transportation with financial grants. With the support of the Ontario government, we also pioneered a partnership with Uber to support driving patients to appointments in limited areas of the province.

We require support to continue to operate these services, to ensure that people can get to their appointments as the province works through the backlog of postponed cancer treatments. In particular, we are alarmed by the potential influx of cancers yet to be diagnosed because of disruptions to provincial screening programs. We anticipate that efforts to reduce the backlog of cancer care and screenings will place even greater demands on our transportation program that we require assistance to meet.

#### What does the evidence say?

In a 2018 survey of Wheels of Hope clients conducted by CCS, 61% indicated that they are somewhat or very likely to miss cancer-specific medical appointments if the program was unavailable. Reoccurring themes in the literature from Canada and other jurisdictions note that about 13-20% of patients report experiencing transportation barriers, and these were more common amongst patients that were experiencing pain as well as those that had no primary social support or non-spousal support.<sup>2</sup> Further, the 2018 Canadian Partnership Against Cancer *Living with Cancer* report found that difficulty travelling to treatments created a burden for patients, disrupting family life and routines, and resulted in further strain on families coping with the cancer diagnosis of a loved one.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Samina T. Syed, Ben S. Gerber, and Lisa K. Sharp. Traveling Towards Disease: Transportation Barriers to Health Care Access. J Community Health. 2013 Oct; 38(5): 976–993.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Canadian Cancer Society. Patient and survivor needs with care and support: summary of the evidence. 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Canadian Partnership Against Cancer. Living with Cancer: A Report on the Patient Experience. Toronto: System Performance Reports; 2018.



#### Helping those facing the greatest challenges

Transportation barriers are shown to predominantly affect the elderly, those living in rural or remote areas, First Nations, Inuit and Metis populations, racial and ethnic minorities, and patients with lower annual household income.<sup>4</sup>

#### Rural populations

Rural Ontarians living with cancer are at a disadvantage as they often face the financial burden of travelling to receive cancer treatment and are more likely to view the associated costs as an important consideration when making treatment decisions. Nearly all 14regional cancer centres in Ontario are located in urban settings or regional hubs while servicing the surrounding rural areas. With an average one-way trip of 50 km, the Wheels of Hope program mitigates barriers for rural patients accessing their regional cancer centre by providing door to door transportation to treatment.

#### Seniors

Almost 60% of all new cancer diagnoses and 75% of all cancer deaths occur in seniors (age 65+). Transportation for medical services and care is one of the most serious mobility problems faced by seniors, particularly among those in rural settings. The WOH program is largely delivered by seniors for seniors, with seniors accounting for approximately 75% of people accessing the service and 80% of the volunteer drivers.

#### Low SES populations

The cost of transport to care means that low-income Canadians are 10 times more likely than other Canadians to report unmet health care needs.<sup>5</sup> Costs related to medical travel consume a larger share of disposable income than in high-income groups. These disparities are magnified for rural residents who, compared to their urban counterparts, earn lower incomes.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Pesut, B., Robinson, C., Bottorff, J., Fyles, G. and Broughton, S. On the road again: Patient perspectives on commuting for palliative care. Palliative and Supportive Care. 2010; 8(02): 187-195.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Williamson D.L, Stewart M.J, Hayward K. et al. Low-income Canadians' experiences with health-related services: Implications for health care reform. Health Policy.2006; 76: 106–121.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Mathews, M. and Ryan, D. (2017). Publicly Funded Medical Travel Subsidy Programs in Canada. Canadian Social Work Review, 34(1), p.123.



# Appendix B: Adding coverage of the prostate-specific antigen (PSA) test to the Ontario Health Insurance Plan, when ordered by a physician

Prostate cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer among Canadian men with 1 in 9 Canadian men expected to be diagnosed with prostate cancer in their lifetime, yet it is also one of the least preventable cancers. Due to the difficulty in preventing prostate cancer, early detection of the disease is critically important. When prostate cancer is detected early, close to 100% of men will survive 5 years or more.

The PSA test is a commonly used blood test that looks for high levels of PSA, a protein made by prostate cells. A small amount of PSA in the blood is normal, but if PSA levels are elevated, a person will typically be sent for further testing to determine if they have prostate cancer or a health concern impacting prostate.

Currently, the Ontario Health Insurance Plan does not cover this important test for asymptomatic individuals who are worried about their health. The cost of PSA test is currently paid out-of-pocket by asymptomatic individuals in Ontario and British Columbia who would like to take the test after having a conversation with their doctor about the benefits and limitations. All other 8 provinces and 3 territories cover the cost of the test by referral without requiring signs and symptoms. CCS believes Canadians should have equitable access to a PSA test for early detection of prostate cancer without having to pay out-of-pocket when they have a physician referral, regardless of where they live in Canada. Lack of coverage may act as a barrier for those who would like testing.

This request would fulfill the commitment made by the government through the motion supporting funding for physician-ordered PSA tests, which passed in the legislature unopposed in September 2020. A 2021 poll commissioned by CCS found that 9 in ten Canadians (90%) express support for increased government health care spending on the test for early detection of prostate cancer with physician referral.



# Appendix C: Investing in a system of fully funded take-home cancer drugs (THCD)

Cancer treatments can be physically, emotionally, and financially draining on people living with cancer and their families. The challenges have been exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic. People with cancer are at higher risk for more serious outcomes of COVID-19. Moreover, due to challenges related to hospital capacity and the availability of PPE, many elective surgeries were postponed, forcing care teams to either delay care or make other decisions regarding treatment in the interim. Increasing treatment options for people living with cancer and their families by making take-home cancer drugs more accessible will relieve some of these challenges while freeing up hospital space and helping to end hallway medicine in Ontario.

IV cancer medications delivered in hospital continue to be 100% funded for all cancer patients, regardless of age and income status. These cost average approximately \$11,660 per patient over a 28-day period, not including the travel costs for patients to get to a hospital or the cost for the hospital to administer. However, more than half of newly approved cancer medications are oral or injectable formulations and could be filled at a pharmacy for patients to take at home. These cost average approximately \$6,875 per patient over a 28-day period.<sup>7</sup>

THCDs are not covered universally by the government. Through OHIP+, the Trillium Drug Benefit, programs for seniors 65 and older or people on social assistance, or other smaller specialty programs, THCDs are covered—at times with a copay or deductible depending on the program. For the remainder of the population in Ontario who do not qualify for these programs, they either pay out-of-pocket or are covered by group/private insurance. With a co-payment of 20%, the out-of pocket costs for THCD for a 28 day period can be over \$1,000. As people face the economic fallout of the COVID-19 pandemic, it is even more difficult to pay out-of-pocket to access these medications.

Public coverage for THCDs keeps more Ontarians out of hospital, improves outcomes for people with cancer, and helps advance the Government of Ontario's goal of ending hallway medicine at a time when additional capacity is more important than ever. This would align Ontario with many other provinces and territories (BC, AB, SK, MB, QC, NWT, YK and NT). In a 2019 Ipsos Reid poll, 96% of Ontarians supported fully funding THCDs, and 82% of Ontarians supported fully funding THCDs even if it meant paying more in taxes.<sup>8</sup>

We suggest that the Ontario government consider one of two options presented below to improve access to THCDs.

## **Option #1: First Dollar Coverage**

The former Cancer Care Ontario estimates private insurance covers \$200 million in costs for THCDs. However, the Ontario government as first payer, as demonstrated through OHIP+ budget impact modeling, would not assume the full costs paid for TCHDs by private drug plans because:

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Gavura, Scott. "The Growing Cost of Oncology Drugs: Is it Sustainable?" Lecture, CADTH Symposium 2018. Available <u>here</u>.
<sup>8</sup> On behalf of the Canadian Cancer Society, Ipsos polled 1,501 Canadians over the age of 18 between August 23 and August 26 of 2019.



- i. private drug plans include more drugs, for more types of patients, with fewer restrictions, than public plans;
- ii. approximately 50% of private insurance claim costs might not be eligible for public coverage;
- iii. public drug plans negotiate significantly discounted prices not available to private plans;
- iv. public drug plans have rigid generic substitution requirements (private plans pay for more "brand" name drugs).

Given these considerations, some estimates suggest the cost of expanding public coverage of THCDs through this model would be \$142 million annually.<sup>9</sup>

## **Option #2: Close the Gap**

Ontario can 'close the gap' and act as a second payer for THCDs, similar to the adjustments made to OHIP+. Essentially, this option would extend the Trillium Drug Benefit to all cancer patient out-of-pocket costs for THCDs, as is the case currently for IV drugs. Deducting the costs already committed for OHIP+, the estimated cost of eliminating the patient-borne costs of THCDs for all Ontarians under this model is approximately \$42.5M.<sup>10</sup>

Implementing generating THCD prescriptions through Computerized Prescriber Order Entry (CPOE) and distributing THCDs through cancer clinic or affiliated pharmacy models, along with additional training for health care providers, may further reduce the cost of THCDs for all patients and for the health care system overall.<sup>11</sup>

# Appendix D: Implement an annual cost recovery fee on the tobacco industry and an e-cigarette tax

i. Cost recovery fee on the tobacco industry

The Government of Ontario should also fund the Smoke-Free Ontario Strategy through a cost recovery fee on the tobacco industry. This approach is like the U.S. Food and Drug Administration tobacco strategy fee (in place since 2009), and the Canadian federal cannabis annual regulatory fee. Manitoba, Quebec, and New Brunswick each have a provincial cost recovery mechanism in place for cannabis. According to a 2019 Ipsos poll, 92% of Ontarians support making the tobacco industry pay for programs to reduce smoking.<sup>12</sup>

Over a five-and-a-half-year period, 2014 to 2019 inclusive, the tobacco industry increased prices by \$17.40 per carton, net of taxes, now generating \$2 billion in incremental revenue

nal/1579274211/20200117 CanCertainty Budget Submission.pdf?1579274211 <sup>10</sup> "2020 Ontario Budget & Take-Home Cancer Drugs." 2020 Ontario Budget & Take-Home Cancer Drugs. The CanCertainty Coalition, January 17, 2020. Available at https://d3n8a8pro7vhmx.cloudfront.net/cancertainty/pages/34/attachments/original/1579274211/20200117 CanCertainty Budget Submission.pdf?1579274211. <sup>11</sup> Pardhan, Aliya, Kathy Vu, Daniela Gallo-Hershberg, Leta Forbes, Scott Gavura, and Vishal Kukreti. Enhancing the Delivery of

<sup>11</sup> Pardhan, Aliya, Kathy Vu, Daniela Gallo-Hershberg, Leta Forbes, Scott Gavura, and Vishal Kukreti. Enhancing the Delivery of Take-Home Cancer Drugs in Ontario, Enhancing the Delivery of Take-Home Cancer Drugs in Ontario § (2019). Available at <a href="https://www.cancercareontario.ca/sites/ccocancercare/files/guidelines/full/1">https://www.cancercareontario.ca/sites/ccocancercare/files/guidelines/full/1</a> CCO THCD Report 25Apr2019.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> "2020 Ontario Budget & Take-Home Cancer Drugs." 2020 Ontario Budget & Take-Home Cancer Drugs. The CanCertainty Coalition, January 17, 2020. Available at <u>https://d3n8a8pro7vhmx.cloudfront.net/cancertainty/pages/34/attachments/original/1579274211/20200117</u> CanCertainty Budget Submission.pdf?1579274211

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> On behalf of the Canadian Cancer Society, Ipsos polled 1,501 Canadians over the age of 18 between August 23 and August 26 of 2019.



per year that should be going to governments. The fee would generate approximately \$44 million in annual revenue for the Ontario government. Tobacco companies would pay a fee based on their market share.

ii. E-cigarette tax

E-Cigarette use among young people has increased dramatically. Data from the *Canadian Student Tobacco, Alcohol, and Drugs Survey* indicates that youth vaping has doubled over a two-year period and tripled over a four-year period (2014-2019).<sup>13</sup> This rapid increase in youth vaping is creating a new generation of youth addicted to nicotine and is threatening the progress achieved over the years to reduce smoking. We can take the lessons learned from over 50 years of action against tobacco and act now to reduce youth vaping.

We continue to support the steps Ontario took last year to reduce e-cigarette use among young people, including banning the sale of most e-cigarette flavours as well as high nicotine e-cigarettes (over 20 mg/ml of nicotine) at convenience stores and gas stations, and limiting retail sales of these products to adult-only specialty stores. However, other provinces are taking further regulatory, legislative, and budgetary steps to address e-cigarette use. British Columbia, Alberta, Nova Scotia and Newfoundland have announced taxes on vaping products. As well, at least 20 U.S. states have implemented taxes on e-cigarette products.

Just as tobacco taxes are a highly effective means of preventing and reducing tobacco use among youth, the same is true for e-cigarettes. Youth have less disposable income and are more price-sensitive consumers. The Canadian Cancer Society urges the Ontario government to address the dramatic increase in youth e-cigarette use by implementing a tax on e-cigarettes. In addition, a tax can serve as a revenue tool to fund programs to increase youth knowledge regarding the risks of vaping and as well as other initiatives to reduce youth use of e-cigarettes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Canada Gazette, Part I, Volume 153, Number 51: Vaping Products Promotion Regulations.